Data-driven discovery of nonlinear dynamical systems

Paris Perdikaris Department of Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics University of Pennsylvania email: pgp@seas.upenn.edu

25ο Θερινό Σχολείο-Συνέδριο "Δυναμικά Συστήματα και Πολυπλοκότητα" ΕΚΕΦΕ "Δημόκριτος" Αθήνα, 9-17 Ιουλίου 2018



Overview



- ... some old ideas revisited with modern computational tools:
- Psichogios, D. C., & Ungar, L. H. (1992). A hybrid neural network-first principles approach to process modeling. AIChE Journal, 38(10), 1499-1511.
- Lagaris, I. E., Likas, A., & Fotiadis, D. I. (1997). Artificial neural networks for solving ordinary and partial differential equations. arXiv preprint physics/9705023.
- Rico-Martinez, R., Anderson, J. S., & Kevrekidis, I. G. (1994, September). Continuous-time nonlinear signal processing: a neural network based approach for gray box identification. In Neural Networks for Signal Processing [1994] IV. Proceedings of the 1994 IEEE Workshop (pp. 596-605). IEEE.





Pseudocolor Var: Velocity_magnitude



Time-resolved adaptive finite element simulation of turbulent flow past an aircraft (DLR F11 high-lift configuration). Simulation is by CTL (http://www.csc.kth.se/ctl)









Figure 1: Burgers' equation: Top: Predicted solution u(t, x) along with the initial and boundary training data. In addition we are using 10,000 collocation points generated using a Latin Hypercube Sampling strategy. Bottom: Comparison of the predicted and exact solutions corresponding to the three temporal snapshots depicted by the white vertical lines in the top panel. The relative \mathcal{L}_2 error for this case is $6.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$. Model training took approximately 60 seconds on a single NVIDIA Titan X GPU card.





Data-driven solution of PDEs

$$u_t + \mathcal{N}[u; \lambda] = 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T]$$

We define f(t, x) to be given by the left-hand-side of equation (1); i.e.,

$$f := u_t + \mathcal{N}[u;\lambda],\tag{2}$$

and proceed by approximating u(t, x) by a deep neural network. This assumption along with equation (2) result in a *physics informed neural network* f(t, x). This network can be derived by applying the chain rule for differentiating compositions of functions using automatic differentiation [11]. It is worth highlighting that the parameters of the differential operator λ turn into parameters of the *physics informed neural network* f(t, x).

 $MSE = MSE_u + MSE_f,$

$$MSE_{u} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u(t_{u}^{i}, x_{u}^{i}) - u^{i}|^{2},$$

$$MSE_{f} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |f(t_{u}^{i}, x_{u}^{i})|^{2}$$

Physics-informed neural networks <u>Example</u>: Burgers' equation in 1D

 $u_t + uu_x - (0.01/\pi)u_{xx} = 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (3)$ $u(0, x) = -\sin(\pi x),$ u(t, -1) = u(t, 1) = 0.

Let us define f(t, x) to be given by

$$f := u_t + u u_x - (0.01/\pi) u_{xx},$$

def u(t, x): u = neural_net(tf.concat([t,x],1), weights, biases) return u

Correspondingly, the physics informed neural network f(t, x) takes the form

```
def f(t, x):
u = u(t, x)
u_t = tf.gradients(u, t)[0]
u_x = tf.gradients(u, x)[0]
u_xx = tf.gradients(u_x, x)[0]
f = u_t + u*u_x - (0.01/tf.pi)*u_xx
return f
```





Figure 1: Shrödinger equation: Top: Predicted solution |h(t, x)| along with the initial and boundary training data. In addition we are using 20,000 collocation points generated using a Latin Hypercube Sampling strategy. Bottom: Comparison of the predicted and exact solutions corresponding to the three temporal snapshots depicted by the dashed vertical lines in the top panel. The relative \mathbb{L}_2 error for this case is $1.97 \cdot 10^{-3}$.



Accounting for uncertainty



Accounting for uncertainty

Physics informed machine learning with deep generative models



Data-driven discovery of PDEs

$$u_t + \mathcal{N}[u; \lambda] = 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T]$$

$$\mathcal{N}(t, x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \ldots) = \alpha_{0,0} + \alpha_{1,0}u + \alpha_{2,0}u^2 + \alpha_{3,0}u^3 + \alpha_{0,1}u_x + \alpha_{1,1}uu_x + \alpha_{2,1}u^2u_x + \alpha_{3,1}u^3u_x + \alpha_{0,2}u_{xx} + \alpha_{1,2}uu_{xx} + \alpha_{2,2}u^2u_{xx} + \alpha_{3,2}u^3u_{xx} + \alpha_{0,3}u_{xxx} + \alpha_{1,3}uu_{xxx} + \alpha_{2,3}u^2u_{xxx} + \alpha_{3,3}u^3u_{xxx}$$



Data-driven discovery of PDEs

$$u_t + \mathcal{N}[u; \lambda] = 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T]$$

We define f(t, x) to be given by the left-hand-side of equation (1); i.e.,

$$f := u_t + \mathcal{N}[u;\lambda],\tag{2}$$

and proceed by approximating u(t, x) by a deep neural network. This assumption along with equation (2) result in a *physics informed neural network* f(t, x). This network can be derived by applying the chain rule for differentiating compositions of functions using automatic differentiation [11]. It is worth highlighting that the parameters of the differential operator λ turn into parameters of the *physics informed neural network* f(t, x).

$$MSE = MSE_u + MSE_f,$$

$$MSE_{u} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u(t_{u}^{i}, x_{u}^{i}) - u^{i}|^{2},$$

$$MSE_f = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |f(t_u^i, x_u^i)|^2$$

Example: Burgers' equation in 1D

$$u_t + \lambda_1 u u_x - \lambda_2 u_{xx} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Let us define f(t, x) to be given by

$$f := u_t + \lambda_1 u u_x - \lambda_2 u_{xx}, \tag{4}$$

```
def net_u(self, x, t):
u = self.neural_net(tf.concat([x,t],1), self.weights, self.biases)
return u
```

```
def net_f(self, x,t):
lambda_1 = self.lambda_1
lambda_2 = tf.exp(self.lambda_2)
u = self.net_u(x,t)
u_t = tf.gradients(u, t)[0]
u_x = tf.gradients(u, x)[0]
u_xx = tf.gradients(u_x, x)[0]
f = u_t + lambda_1*u*u_x - lambda_2*u_xx
```

return f



Systems identification (PDEs)

$$u_t = \mathcal{N}(t, x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \ldots)$$

Example:

The KdV equation:

 $u_t = -uu_x - u_{xxx}$



Raissi, M. (2018). Deep Hidden Physics Models: Deep Learning of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.06637.

Systems identification (ODEs)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_n = \boldsymbol{x}_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \left(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_n) + \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n-1})\right), \quad n = 1, \dots, N$$

Harmonic Oscillator:

$$\dot{x} = -0.1 \ x^3 + 2.0 \ y^3$$

 $\dot{y} = -2.0 \ x^3 - 0.1 \ y^3$



Raissi, M., Perdikaris, P., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2018). Multistep Neural Networks for Data-driven Discovery of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems. arXiv preprint

Systems identification (ODEs)



Raissi, M., Perdikaris, P., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2018). Multistep Neural Networks for Data-driven Discovery of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems. arXiv preprint

Summary

Data-driven solution of differential equations





Predictive Intelligence Lab at the University of Pennsylvania



Acknowledgements:

- Maziar Raissi (Brown University)
- George Karniadakis (Brown University)

Code: https://github.com/PredictiveIntelligenceLab

Email: pgp@seas.upenn.edu

Data-driven discovery of differential equations